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Abstract: The nasal index measurement is one of the methods anthropologists have used to differentiate living race and subspecies of man. Ethnic influences can result in different appearances of the nose and can be found in many shapes and sizes. A total number of 1000 healthy people (500 males and 500 females) aged between 25-45 years belonging to pure race of Tharu and Mongoloid communities were participated in the study. The nasal index was calculated as (Nasal height / Nasal Breadth) × 100 and their significance was tested by Student t-test. Results — Nasal index among the Mongoloid male and female were 74.6 and 75.9 respectively and the Tharu male and female were 83.8 and 82.4 respectively (p<0.05). The result of this study revealed significant difference in Nasal index and in Nasal height (P<0.05) of male and female of Tharu and Mongoloid ethnic races. The study revealed the racial as well as sexual dimorphism pattern in nasal ergonomics in this ethnic group. The sex and ethnicity had considerable effect nasal ergonomics related anthropometric measurements.
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Introduction

The nasal index measurement is one of the methods anthropologists have used to differentiate living race and subspecies of man [1]. Nasal index is defined as ratio of the greatest width of nasal aperture to height of nasal skeleton multiplied by 100 [2]. Based on the index, the nose has been classified into leptocephalus a fine nosed (69.9), mesorhine or medium nosed (70.0-84.9) and platyrhine or broad nosed (85.0) [3]. Environmental climatic conditions also plays a significant role in determining the shape of the nose [4]. The narrower noses are favored in cold and dry climates whereas broader noses in warmer, moister ones as a consequence of natural selection in human evolution [5]. Ethnic influences can result in different appearances of the nose and can be found in many shapes and sizes [6]. Thus nasal index is very useful in anthropology in distinguishing racial and ethnic differences [7]. The nasal index is very useful in anthropology and it is one of the clinical anthropometric parameters recognized in nasal surgical and medical management [8].

Previously, no scientific somatometric data was available for nasal ergonomics, of Tharu and Mongoloid ethnic races to mark the difference. Thus, the aim of this study is to determine the nasal index and to classify the nose type of the pure ethnic races the tharu and mongoloid population living in the Eastern part of Nepal.

Material and Methods

In the present study, subjects whose parent and grand parent (both maternal and paternal) did not have intercaste marriage were considered as pure race. Rai, Limbu, Magar, Gurung, and Tamang communities were placed in Mongoloid group. Following the Institutional Ethical Review Board clearance, a total number of 1000 healthy people (500 males and 500 females) aged between 25-45 years belonging to pure race of Tharu and Mongoloid communities of Sunsari and Morang district of Eastern Nepal were selected using multistage sampling, snowball technique.

Participant with genetic, endocrine disorders, heart disease, kidney diseases, bony growth, recent nasal surgery, tumor eg. lipoma, sebaceous cyst, visible tumor on head/face etc. were not included. Nasal height (NH) was the distance between Nasion (n) and Pronasale (Prn). Nasion (n) was the midpoint in fronto- nasal suture and Pronasale (Prn) was prominent point on nose. Nasal Breadth (NB) was the distance between two ala of nose, which is the most laterally placed point on nasal wings. Nasal protrusion (sn-prn) was the distance between Subnasale (Sn) and Pronasale (Prn). Subnasale (Sn) was the point which lies at angle between septum and surface of upper lip. Purpose of the study was conveyed and confidentiality and anonymity was assured for each member involved in this study. Considering the convenience of each subject, the personal, present and past histories were taken. All the measurements i.e nasal length, nasal breadth, nasal height were taken by using straight sliding caliper, Martin type anthropometer and head positioned in Frankfurt plane. These are manufactured by Syber Hegne and Company AG, technical products division, Wiesenstrasses 8, CH-8008 Zurich, Switzerland. All the collected data were summarized using SPSS 11.5 and the nasal index was calculated as (NH/NB)×100 [9], and their significance was tested by Student t-test.
Results

Among male of both ethnic races, Mongoloid male (48.5±2.8), female (44.7±7.0) had the highest nasal height, and Tharu male and female had the shortest (44.0±2.9, 40.5±2.4, respectively). There was highly significant difference in nasal height, between male and female of both the races (p<0.05). Tharu male had the broadest nasal breadth (36.9±2.6) and mongoloid had the least (34.4±3.7). There was a highly significant difference in nasal height between the male and female in all ethnic races (P<0.05). Mongoloid male had more protruded nose (12.7±1.3), and Tharus had the least protrusion of nose (11.8±1.2) (P<0.05). Nasal index among the mongoloid male and female was 74.6 and 75.9 respectively, and the Tharu male and female were 83.8 and 82.4 respectively (p<0.05). This is indicative of a sexual dimorphic pattern in the ethnic group studied. The result of this study revealed significant difference (P<0.05) in Nasal index of male/female of Tharu and Mongoloid. For pure ethnic races Tharu and Mongoloid previously no such scientific somatometric data was available nasal ergonomics in this group to mark the difference. This demonstrates the racial as well as sexual differences in nasal ergonomics.

Discussion

In a study conducted by G.S. Oladipo et al. (2009), in Itesekiri and Urhobo people, the results showed the Urhobos had a mean nasal index of 89.63 and the Itesekiri’s had a mean nasal index of 90.74 (p<0.05), and the two ethnic groups fall within the platyrhine (short, broad nosed) [10]. The Ekpeye male had the highest mean nasal index of 93.72 and fall under platyrhines while the ikwerre male had lowest mean value of 84.81 and fall under mesorrhine type. Thus racial difference have been reported by several authors [7, 9].

In our study, the nasal index of mongoloid male and female was 74.6 and 75.9 and fall under the mesorrhine (medium nose) type. Similarly the nasal index of the Tharu male and female is 83.8 and 82.4 (wider nose) and also fall under the mesorrhine type but have the broader nose. Male have significantly higher nasal index values than the females in this study. This shows there is the sexual dimorphism. It also indicates the racial and ethnic differences in nasal index amongst different populations. The results are in agreement with R.G. Francisco and J.C. Long (1991) [7] and G.S. Oladipio (2010) [10], who reported higher values for nasal height, nasal width and nasal index. Similar is the finding in the study conducted by B. Xu et al. (2001) in Jingpo people in China, who have mesorrhine nose type [11].

In a similar study, G.S. Oladipo et al. (2006) reported that the mean values for Nigerian Igbo were 95.9 and 90.8 for male and female respectively. Thus, the Igbo have platyrhine nose type and also show sexual dimorphism [12]. Our study indicated that the predominant nose type is mesorrhine based on the mean nasal index of 83.8, 82 for male, female respectively in the Tharu with broader nose and mongoloid male and female with 74.6 and 75.9 respectively. This confirm the existence of sexual dimorphism in nasal parameters among the mongoloid and the tharu population living in Nepal.

Nasal index is related to regional and climatic differences [13]. Various studies have indicated racial and ethnic differences in nasal index amongst different populations [12]. Most Caucasians are leptorrhine having long and narrow nose with nasal index of 69.9 or less. The Indo-Aryan is also similar to the Europian, possessing a fine nose [14].

The study conducted by Milgrim showed that there were racial differences in nasal breadth [15]. They found the mean nasal breadth of white female was 31 mm and South American female 34.4 mm. In this study there was differences in nasal breadth between female of two communities. Nasal breadth Tharu female was 33.4 mm and Mongoloid 33.5 mm respectively. So, the nose is one of the best clues to racial origin.

The study conducted by L.M. Milgrim et al. (1996) had shown that the mean nasal protusion of Caribbean female was 13.4 mm which was closer to the mongoloid female was 11.8 mm, while Whites was 19.7 mm and Central Americans was 19.3 mm, which showed considerable differences [15]. In this present study the mean nasal protusion, ranged from 11.8-13.4 mm among Tharu and 12.7-13.4 mm among Mongoloid. However, nasal protusion of mongoloid female was found to be higher than tharu (p<0.05) in this study. Similar was values seen in Caribbean 39 mm, Central Americans 39.5 mm and South Americans 42 mm. The results of this study is similar with our finding that compare anthropometric characteristics of male and females. Most of the authors have concluded the presence of sexual dimorphism in their studied sample.

Conclusion

The human nose is a dominant feature in facial region which differs in anatomy and morphology among racial groups and of the most characteristic differences during the analysis of ethnic and racial differences. Present study demonstrated that there were racial as well as sexual dimorphism in nasal ergonomics. The sex and ethnicity had considerable effect nasal ergonomics related anthropometric measurements. The mean nasal index of the Tharu and the Mongoloid population has been determined. The Mongoloid and the tharu fall within the mesorrhine nose type but the Tharu have the more wider nose and with more Nasal index near to platyrhine type. This study provides a normative data of nasal index, which will be a anthropometric tool in differentiating these ethnic groups, forensic medicine, reconstructive surgery and rhinoplasty amongst the ethnic groups under study.
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