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Pathomorphological distinction between Er:YAG and diode lasers on the excisional biopsy of the oral mucosa
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Abstract: Background — In oral pathology, laser devices can provide important advantages, especially in the treatment of certain lesions. However, there is controversy about the use of some wavelengths in the analysis of suspected dysplastic or neoplastic lesions, raising doubt about the laser’s suitability for use in biopsy procedures. The present pilot study evaluates the pathomorphological characteristics and suitability of Er:YAG and diode lasers for performing excisional biopsies in the oral mucosa with special emphasis on the extent of the thermal damage zone created.

Material and Methods — 10 patients were randomly assigned to one diode or one Er:YAG laser groups. The Er:YAG laser(2940nm) was used in a pulsed wave mode 200mJ/35Hz with a power of 7 W. Power settings for the diode laser(810 nm) were 3 W in a pulsed mode. The thermal damage zone of the two lasers and intraoperative and postoperative complications were assessed and compared. All biopsy specimens were evaluated by optical microscopy by threeblinded pathologists. After establishing the pathomorphological diagnosis of the lesion assessed, the pathologists measured the maximal width of the peripheral thermal damage zone in the specimen in μm and classified it using appropriate index.

Results — The peripheral thermal damage zone on the borders of the excisional biopsies was significantly smaller with the Er:YAG laser compared to the diode laser regarding values in μm or pathomorphological index scores. No postoperative complications occurred in any of the two groups.

Conclusions — The Er:YAG laser seems to be appropriate for excisional biopsies of benign oral mucosal lesions. The Er:YAG laser offers clear advantages in terms of smaller thermal damage zones over the diode laser. Although in some samples thermal damage was minimally visible, in all samples histological evaluation was clearly possible. The study demonstrated that the Er:YAG laser can be safely used in oral biopsy investigations while ensuring a successful histological evaluation, which is fundamental to correct clinical management.
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Introduction

Since the first laser system was developed in the 1960s it has been widely used in different areas of medicine such as ophthalmology, dermatology and general surgery. In the beginning laser devices used in dentistry were focused only on hard tissues. Although more than twenty years of experiments and investigations have established the capability of the laser systems to treat a great variety of pathological changes in the soft tissues of the oral cavity [1]. Today lasers are often used in dentist practices because of some their undeniable advantages: lack of bacterial contamination, strong hemostatical effect, postoperative reduction of the inflammation and serious decrease of pain levels amongst the patients [2, 3].

Currently more than 10 different types of the lasers are available for use in the field of dentistry. They can be classified by wavelength, power and kind of tissue which they impact on. However, the characteristic which bands together all types of devices, ignoring the different mechanisms of action, is the ability to change light energy into the heat. This can cause irreversible thermal damage of the treated tissue [4].

Er:YAG was developed less than 15 years ago with the aim of managing lesions occurring in all kinds of tissues in the oral cavity like mucosa, bone, enamel, dentine and cement [5, 6]. The wavelength (2940 nm) that the laser produced is well absorbed by water molecules, which leads to their vaporization, therefore the treated tissue is removed with a proven bactericidal effect [7].

Diode laser (with wavelength 810 and 980 nm) is used in dentistry for deisfection, for bleaching teeth and in oral surgery for soft tissue applications. The wavelength that the laser produces is well absorbed by hemoglobin molecules in soft tissues which produces a potential risk of deep thermal damage [2].

Obviously, the use of lasers in dental practices as a biopsy tool has a risk of damaging the biopsy specimens. This could make histological evaluation extremely difficult, especially when the
condition of the resection zone should be analyzed in order to discover any possible neoplastic infiltration. Accordingly this makes us believe in a necessity to comprehensively investigate which laser system is more suitable for accurate biopsy performance without any unfavourable effects on the treated tissues.

The purpose of the present study is to compare certain pathomorphological characteristics of the tissue from the oral mucosa removed as an excisional biopsy performed by Er:YAG and diode lasers, focusing on the levels of the thermal damage of the specimen’s peripheral zone.

Materials and methods
The study was performed on ten patients referred for treatment to the Oral Pathology division, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Plovdiv, Bulgaria. Necessary precautions and safety protocols were undertaken by the operator and the patient all through the operating procedure. Five biopsy specimens were obtained from suspected benign lesions on the oral mucosa using Er:YAG laser (2940 nm, LiteTouch, Syneron, Israel) (Figure 1), and five others using diode laser (810 nm, D-Touch, Syneron, Israel) (Figure 2). The Er:YAG laser was used in a pulsed wave mode 200mj/35Hz with a power of 7 W. Power setting for the diode laser was 3 W in a pulsed mode. All the surgical excisions were performed by the same operator (G.T.). Biopsy specimens were sent to the Department of General and Clinic Pathology for histological evaluation.

Pathomorphological performance and examination
Following the excision, the specimens were placed in 10% formalin solution for adequate fixation. The specimen were embedded in parafin and 5μm sections were prepared. The hematoxylin-eosin stained sections were evaluated separately by three different pathologists. The light microscope Olymyps CH30 on 4x и 10x magnifications and the macrometric system Objekt mikrometer и Okular mikrometer of Carl-Zeiss Jena were used for pathomorphological examination. The sections without artefacts were evaluated for further histological features:

a) Epithelial changes: nuclear, cytoplasmic and cell membrane.

b) Connective tissue changes: thermal necrosis, collagen denaturation and tissue alteration.

c) Present or absence of vascular modifications: thrombosis or stasis.

d) All changes observed (expressed in micrometers and evaluated separately for the epithelium, connective tissue and vessel modifications) were considered to be a thermal damage zone and the widest one (1050 μm) was chosen as a main value. The same value was divided into the three equal parts indicating, respectively, zones with mild (0-350 μm), moderate (351-700 μm) and severe (701-1050 μm) thermal damage.

Results
The biopsy specimens, without any exception, performed using Er:YAG laser are in the zone with the mild thermal damage (Figures 3a, 3b and Figures 4a, 4b).

Pathomorphological changes obtained by the diode laser are in the zones of the moderate and severe thermal damage (Figures 5a and 5b).

Distribution of the specimens from both groups due to the level of the thermal damage is presented on the Table 1.

![Figure 1. Excisional biopsy using Er:YAG laser](image1)

![Figure 2. Excisional biopsy using diode laser](image2)

![Figure 3. a) Magnification x4. Biopsy sample performed using Er:YAG laser and diagnosed as lichen planus; b) Magnification x10. Peripheral zone of the specimen shows limited changes caused by thermal effect of the laser.](image3)

![Figure 4. a) Magnification x4. Biopsy sample performed using Er:YAG laser and diagnosed as pyogenic granuloma; b) Magnification x20. Peripheral zone of the specimen shows limited changes caused by thermal effect of the laser.](image4)
Changes in the particular histological structures (epithelium, connective tissue and vessels) are demonstrated on the Figure 6. The results date were reconfirmed by statistical platform SPSS.

Figure 5. a) Magnificence x4. Biopsy sample performed using diode laser. Pathomorphological diagnosing is impossible because of the small size of the specimen and severe thermal damage cause by the diode laser; b) Magnificence x20. Peripheral zone of the specimen shows severe changes as protein denaturation and completely lost of the nuclei caused by the diode laser.

Figure 6. Changes in the particular histological structures in both groups (Er:YAG laser and diode laser).

Table 1. Disociation of the specimens (n=10) due to the level of the zone with thermal damage in Er:YAG and diode lasers groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage level</th>
<th>mild</th>
<th>moderate</th>
<th>severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Er:YAG laserspecimens</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diode laserspecimens</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The level of thermal damage caused by Er:YAG laser does not impact on the capability of the histological examination of the specimen’s peripheral zone. This correlates with the results of the other researchers investigating laser systems effects on the soft tissue in the oral cavity [8, 9]. The advantage of the Er:YAG laser is a weaker penetration of the laser energy into the tissue (0.05 mm) and a presence of additional water cooling.

Pathomorphological changes obtained by the diode laser are in the zones of the moderate and severe thermal damage. This level of modification makes difficult or even impossible to accurately evaluate cells’ changes in the treated areas. There are different speculations about the diode laser thermal effects on the soft tissue in the oral cavity. Some authors report tissue modifications not influencing pathomorphological examination [2, 11], whereas others have results comparable with ours [3, 10]. Results probably vary depending on what research method the group of investigators had chosen.

Conclusions

Three parameters are important for the evaluation of new technologies used for excisional biopsy in oral surgery: a) patient compliance during procedure, b) convenience for the surgeon, and c) quality of the biopsy specimen [11]. The analysis of the literature shows that laser systems support accurate excision with minimal short- and long-term morbidity [12].

The Er:YAG laser has a less negative thermal effect on the soft tissue in the oral cavity compared with the diode laser. This allows precise histological examination despite the thermal damage of the peripheral zone, which makes this laser system extremely suitable for the oral mucosa excisional biopsy performance.
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