Original article

Awareness of H1N1 influenza among Pakistani pharmacy students

Zia Ul Mustafa ^{1,2}, Muhammad Salman ³, Alina Zeeshan Rao ², Qurat Ul-Ain Khan ^{2,4}, Noman Asif ², Naureen Shehzadi ², Muhammad Farhan Ali Khan ⁵, Khalid Hussain ²

¹ District Headquarter Hospital, Pakpattan, Pakistan
² Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan
³ University of the Lahore, 1-Km Defense Road, Lahore, Pakistan
⁴ Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore, Pakistan
⁵ Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Received 15 April 2020, Revised 9 May 2020, Accepted 15 July 2020

© 2020, Mustafa Z.U., Salman M., Rao A.Z., Khan Q.U.A., Asif N., Shehzadi N., Hussain K., Khan M.F.A. © 2020, Russian Open Medical Journal

 $\textbf{Abstract:} \ \textit{Aim} - \textbf{This study was aimed to investigate knowledge and attitudes of H1N1 influenza among pharmacy students}.$

Material and Methods — A cross-sectional study was carried out among undergraduate and postgraduate students of the Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore from February-May 2018. A self-administered questionnaire was used to evaluate knowledge and attitudes of H1N1 influenza. All data were analyzed using SPSS 22.

Results — The median age of study participants (N=420) was 20 years, with majority of females (72.4%), undergraduate students (96.7%) belonging to middle economic class (89.8%). The median knowledge score was 18, with 38.1% having poor, 55.7% moderate and 6.2% excellent knowledge score (score <17, 17-25, and 26-34, respectively). The median attitude score was 47 (9); around 52% of the participants were found to have positive attitudes. Initially, there was a significant difference of knowledge score, not attitude, among age categories. However, in post hoc analysis, after Bonferroni adjustment (P<0.017), individuals > 26 years of age had better knowledge scores than 21-25 years (p=0.015) and ≤20 years (p=0.005) age categories. Gender had no significant influence on the knowledge as well as attitude score. Futhermore, postgraduate students had significantly better knowledge scores, not attitude, than undergraduates (p=0.002). Conclusions — Our findings highlight the need to equip pharmacy students with comprehensive knowledge of H1N1 influenza so that in future they can help increase the public awareness at community pharmacies, hospitals or the communities they reside.

Keywords: influenza, knowledge, pharmacy students.

Cite as Mustafa ZU, Salman M, Rao AZ, Khan QUA, Asif N, Shehzadi N, Hussain K, Khan MFA. Awareness of H1N1 influenza among Pakistani pharmacy students. Russian Open Medical Journal 2020; 9: e0303.

Correspondence to Muhammad Salman. Address: Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Lahore, 1-Km Defense Road, Lahore, Pakistan. E-mail: msk5012@gmail.com, muhammad.salman@pharm.uol.edu.pk.

Introduction

Although the World Health Organization (WHO) marks 2018 the 100th anniversary of the most devastating influenza epidemic known to mankind, 'Spanish flu', which started during World War-I (1918-1920) and one third of the Earth's population suffered [1]. To efficiently combat with any future outbreak, in 1952, Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System was launched in 114 countries [1]. According to the Center of Diseases Control and Prevention, 0.64 million people died worldwide because of influenza-related diseases in 2017 despite the fact that many strategies were employed to avoid its outbreak [2]. Since its pandemic in Mexico in 2009 that affected 214 countries, WHO increased the influenza Pandemic alert from phase 5 to phase 6 [3].

In Pakistan the first H1N1 influenza case was confirmed by the Ministry of Health on 10th august 2009 and a total of 76 positive cases were reported by the end of that year [4]. The number of

H1N1 influenza cases increased to 570 till 2011 [5]. Pakistan is included in high risk country list of influenza outbreak and total of 300 cases were confirmed with 34 deaths in different parts of the country till January 2018 [6, 7]. Lack of sufficient public health facilities is the main hindrance in the accurate estimation of H1N1 influenza cases in Pakistan. Vaccination is the most effective way to combat this illness but other preventive measures should also be adopted which include using mask, proper hand hygiene, avoiding hand shaking, touching mouth and nose, hugging, kissing, and any close contact with infected individual [8]. Educational institutes are at high risk of such outbreaks because of permeable boundaries among its students as well as a robust social connection among students [9]. Therefore, the current study was aimed to investigate knowledge and attitude of H1N1 influenza among pharmacy students.



Table 1. Demographic details of the participants

Demograp	N (%)		
	≤20 years	253 (60.2)	
Age	21-25 years	156 (37.1)	
	≥26 years	11 (2.6)	
Gender	Male	116 (27.6)	
Gender	Female	304 (72.4)	
Marital Status	Married	10 (2.4)	
ividi ildi Slatus	Unmarried	410 (97.6)	
Education level	Undergraduate	406 (96.7)	
Education level	Post-graduate	14 (3.3)	
	Lower class	15 (3.6)	
Economic Status:	Middle class	377 (89.8)	
	Upper class	28 (6.7)	

Material and Methods

Research design, participants and setting

We conducted a cross-sectional study among students at the Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan during a period of 4 months (February-May 2018). Both undergraduate as well as postgraduate students of the aforementioned study setting were eligible for inclusion. Excluded were those who did not provide consent to take part in the study.

A Convenience sampling technique was used to recruit study participants.

Ethical approval

The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. We obtained a written consent from study participants before administering them study instrument.

Sample size estimation

The sample size for the present study was computed by Raosoft sample size calculator, keeping margin of error at 5%, 95% Confidence interval, population size of 2500 and 50% response distribution [10]. The minimum sample needed in the current study was 334. However, additional sample was taken in order to compensate errors such as incomplete/partially filled out questionnaires. Therefore, a final sample of 420 subjects was taken.

Table 2. Knowledge of the study participants regarding H1N1 flu

Items N (%)		Correct	Incorrect
1. Influenza signs and symptoms include fever, sneeze, malaise, vomiting, etc.		372 (88.6)	48 (11.4)
2. Influenza causes severe illness than common cold		339 (80.7)	81 (19.3)
3. Influenza does not cause atypical symptoms in children*		177 (42.1)	243 (57.9)
4. Fever and malaise are prominen	t and sometimes only symptoms of influenza in infants	211 (50.2)	209 (49.8)
5. Confusion, cyanosis, apnea and irritability are severe influenza symptoms in children.		193 (46.0)	227 (54.0)
6. Influenza may not lead to death*		131 (31.2)	289 (68.8)
7. The incubation period of influenza is 1-14 days		254 (60.5)	166 (39.5)
8. Transmission period of influenza	is 1 day before onset of symptoms and 7 days after them	218 (51.9)	202 (48.1)
9. Sometimes contagious period is	longer than 7 days.	267 (63.6)	153 (36.4)
10. Children are contagious for a shorter period than adults*		154 (36.7)	266 (63.3)
11. Influenza causes less severe illness in pregnant women*		196 (46.7)	224 (53.3)
12. Breast feeding is protective fac	tor against influenza in infants	195 (46.4)	225 (53.6)
	13. Children < 5 years	166 (39.5)	254 (60.5)
High risk groups for influenza are:	14. Pregnant women	158 (37.6)	262 (62.4)
rigit risk groups for illilueliza are.	15. Patients with kidney failure	157 (37.4)	263 (62.6)
	16. Patients with cardiovascular disease	213 (50.7)	207 (49.3)
	17. Old persons	100 (23.8)	320 (76.2)
18. A person in good health with co	ommon cold symptoms may not need to see a doctor	240 (57.1)	180 (42.9)
19. Influenza needs immediate rep	orting	193 (46.0)	227 (54.0)
20. There is not an effective vaccin	e against influenza*	257 (61.2)	163 (38.8)
21. Seasonal influenza vaccination	for all children aged 6 months to 18 years old is recommended	313 (74.5)	107 (25.5)
22. People should wash their hand	s regularly to avoid influenza	287 (68.3)	133 (31.7)
23. Isolation precautions should be performed by all patients		29. (69.0)	130 (31.0)
24. Wearing N95 mask by patient is an effective prevention strategy		295 (70.2)	125 (29.8)
25. Wearing N95 mask by health care workers is an effective prevention strategy.		330 (78.6)	90 (21.4)
26. Health care workers should wash their hands regularly		300 (71.4)	120 (28.6)
27. Following standard precautions during airway management is important.		203 (48.3)	217 (51.7)
28. Influenza has several complications including chronic diseases		165 (39.3)	255 (60.7)
29. Influenza causes less deaths an	147 (35.0)	273 (65.0)	
30. Salicylates are contraindicated	194 (46.2)	226 (53.8)	
31. The ideal duration of treatment is 5 days		288 (68.6)	132 (31.4)
32. Antiviral drugs can reduce the i	215 (51.2)	205 (48.8)	
33. Antiviral drugs regimen should	74 (17.6)	346 (82.4)	
34. Influenza virus is resistant to ar	247 (58.8)	173 1.2)	

^{* -} Reverse pharsed items

Table 3. Attitude of participants related to H1N1 flu

	Very high	High	Moderate	Low	Do not have
Items	Importance	Importance	Importance	Importance	Importance
	N (%)				
1. Understanding the influenza symptoms in children and pregnant women is important	254 (60.5)	111 (264)	48 (11.4)	5 (1.2)	2 (0.5)
2. Breast feeding is an easy way to prevention	79 (18.8)	144 (34.3)	134 (31.9)	26 (6.2)	37 (8.8)
3. Examining a person in good health with common cold symptoms is important*	13 (3.1)	22 (5.2)	129 (30.7)	155 (36.9)	101 (24.0)
4. Immediate reporting of confirmed cases is important	168 (40.0)	149 (35.5)	75 (17.9)	20 (4.8)	8 (1.9)
5. Hand washing is important for influenza prevention	195 (46.4)	121 (28.8)	77 (18.3)	19 (4.5)	8 (1.9)
6. Isolating patients is critically important	140 (33.3)	118 (28.1)	117 (27.9)	28 (6.7)	17 (4.0)s
7. Using N95 masks by patients is critically important	173 (41.2)	131 (31.2)	84 (20.0)	24 (5.7)	8 (1.9)
8. Using N95 masks by helathcare workers is critically important	163 (38.8)	140 (33.3)	86 (20.5)	20 (4.8)	11 (2.6)
9. Following hand hygiene by healthcare workers is critically important	181 (43.1)	145 (34.5)	71 (16.9)	17 (4.0)	6 (1.4)
10. Avoid salicylates prescription in patients is important*	17 (4.0)	32 (7.6)	147 (35.0)	127 (23.1)	97 (23.1)
11. Rapid case identification and treatment is important	138 (32.9)	148 (35.2)	95 (22.6)	27 (6.4)	12 (2.3)
12. Prescribing amantadine and rimantadine drugs for patients is important	100 (23.8)	107 (25.5)	137 (32.6)	45 (10.7)	31 (7.4)

^{* –} Reverse pharsed items

Table 4. Comparison of knowledge and attitude score among selected variables

variables					
Variables	Knowledge score Mean rank	p-value	Attitude score Mean rank	p-vaue	
Age					
≤ 20 years	202.44	0.014	214.51	0.664	
21-25 years	216.75	0.014	205.29		
≥ 26 years	307.27		192.09		
Gender					
Male	191.72	0.051	215.30	0.616	
Female	217.66		208.67		
Education level					
Undergraduate	207.17	0.002	211.89	0.205	
Post-graduate	306.96		170.14		
Economic Status					
Lower class	242.73	0.495	196.80	0.784	
Middle class	210.21	0.495	210.13		
Upper class	197.07		222.88		

Data collection tool

A self-administered questionnaire (Appendix 1) was designed to evaluate knowledge and attitudes toward H1N1 influenza based on an earlier study [11]. As university educaton in Pakistan is English medium, there was no need to translate the questionnaire in Urdu language. For content validation, the questionnaire was reviewed by an expert panel. All the revisons suggested by the panel were made. The final questionnaire had 3 sections (Appendix 1); Section-A had 5-items to collect demographic data. Section-B contained 34-items regarding knowledge about H1N1 influenza. Every correct response was given a score of 1 whereas incorrect responses were scored zero. The composite knowledge score ranged from 0-34. Seven out of 34 knowledge questions were reverse phrased in order to prevent cognitive bias. Section-C had 12-items about attitude, which were assessed on a 5-point Likert scale (very high importance, high importance, moderate importance, low importance and don't have importance). Moreover, there were 2 reverse phrased questions in this section to prevent cognitive bias. All the attitude-items were scored from 1-5 and total score was obtained by adding the scores of all the 12 questions (range 12-60). Lastly, one question was asked regarding the information source.

Data analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as number and percentages whereas continuous variables were presented as

median and interquartile range. Continuous variables were compared using Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal Wallis H test. Moreover, Bonferroni adjustment was used to assess significance among intergroup variables. All data were analyzed using Statistical program for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 22 for Windows. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic data of the study sample is shown in *Table* 1. The median (interquartile range) age of study participants was 20 (2) years, with majority of females (72.4%), undergraduate students (96.7%) belonging to middle economic class (89.8%).

Frequencies of right and wrong responses to the H1N1 flu knowledge items are shown in *Table* 2. The median (interquartile range) knowledge score was 18 (6), with 38.1% having poor (knowledge score <17), 55.7% moderate (knowledge score from 17-25) and 6.2% excellent knowledge (knowledge score from 26-34) regarding H1N1. Predominant knowledge source was websites/internet/social media (46.2%) followed by electronic/print media (30.5%) and friends/family/relatives (10.5%).

Frequency of responses regarding attitude of participants toward H1N1 influenza are shown in *Table* 3. The median (interquartile range) attitude score was 47 (9), with 51.7% of the participants having positive attitude (attitude score \geq 48). As shown in *Table* 4, there was a significant difference of knowledge score (p=0.014), not attitude score (p=0.664), among age categories. In post hoc analysis, after Bonferroni adjustment (P <0.017), individuals above 26 years of age had better knowledge scores than 21-25 years (p=0.015) and \leq 20 years (p=0.005) age categories. Gender had no influence on the knowledge (p=0.051) as well as attitude score (p=0.616). Futhermore, postgraduate students had significanlty better knowledge scores, not attitude score, than undergraduates (p=0.002).

Discussion

Influenza outbreaks had been reported amongst university populations [12, 13] and these outbreaks were associated with decreased ability to perform routine activities, higher school absenteeism, poor school performance, and an increased health care utilization [14]. Therefore, in order to combat the transmission of H1N1 flu, it is very crucial that people have ample knowledge and awareness about its sign and symptoms. In the

present study, although overall knowledge of the study participants was moderate (55.7%), however, around 38% were found to have poor knowledge. Contrary to the findings of Ahmed et al. [15], majority of our study respondents knew about the signs and symptoms (88.6%) of the H1N1 flu in adults. However, knowledge regarding signs and symptoms in children was low. In our study, around 61% of the students knew the incubation and contagious period but 48% did not know the relationship between the transmission period and onset of action of typical symptoms. These findings were comparable to the results of a qualitative study conducted in Australia where there was a lot of confusion about the mode of transmission of H1N1 influenza among University population [16]

The knowledge regarding the preventive strategy against the flu was somewhat satisfactory as 61.2% individuals knew about the H1N1 flu vaccine, a little more than two third of individuals knew that the good hand hygiene practices as well as isolation technique could prevent them from this infection, and 70.2% knew that it could be prevented by wearing face masks. Askarian et al. reported that majority of Iranian medical and dental students were not aware of H1N1 influenza vaccine, however, they had good knowledge about other preventive strategies [11]. Similarly, a Turkish study also reported that more than half of the participants were well aware of the H1N1 flu preventive strategies [17]. Contrary to the findings of Seale et al [16] and Askarian et al. [11], there was low level of knowledge about high risk individuals as substantial proportion of the participants did not consider children <5 years, pregnant females, older people, renal as well as cardiovascular patients as high risk patients.

Regarding the treatment options, there was less awareness about the usage of antiviral drugs in the treatment of H1N1 flu in this study. Moreover, the knowledge about fatality related to the disease in high risk groups (such as in children and in pregnant females) was also not satisfactory among the study particpants which was contrary to the findings of Ahmed and colleagues [15]. All in all, although overall knowledge of H1N1 flu was found to be moderate among pharmacy students, many misperceptions were prevalent which may be attributable to the fact that majority of the participants acquired information from social media or other websites. Sharma et al. examined the use of social media as an information source for Zika virus pandemic and found out that misleading posts were far more popular than the posts disseminating accurate information [18]. Moreover, TV, radio, newspapers and friends/colleagues, cannot comprehensive information. Our findings highlight the need to equip pharmacy students with inclusive knowledge of H1N1 influenza as well as other infectious diseases so that in future they help increase the public awareness at community pharmacies, hospitals or the community they reside in.

Conclusions

Although the overall knowledge of H1N1 influenza was found to be moderate among pharmacy students, many misconceptions and misperceptions were prevalent. Our findings highlight the need to equip pharmacy students with comprehensive knowledge of influenza as well as other infectious diseases so that in future they can help to increase public awareness at community/retail pharmacies, hospitals or the communities they reside in.

Acknowledgments

Authors are thankful to the study participants for sparing their valuable time to fill out the questionnaires.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- World Health Organization. Pandemic influenza: an evolving challenge, 2018. http://www.who.int/influenza/pandemic-influenza-an-evolving-challenge/en
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Seasonal flu death estimate increases worldwide, 2017. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2017/p1213-flu-death-estimate.html.
- Chan M. World now at the start of 2009 influenza pandemic, 2009. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2009/h1n1 pand emic phase6 20090611/en.
- Ishaque S. Swine flu outbreak: Is Pakistan prepared? J Pak Med Assoc 2010; 60(4): 329-330. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20422753.
- Badar N, Bashir Aamir U, Mehmood MR, Nisar N, Alam MM, Kazi BM, et al. Influenza virus surveillance in Pakistan during 2008-2011. PLoS One 2013; 8(11): e79959. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079959.
- Xinhua. Pakistan among countries battling record flu, face rising death tolls, 2018. https://tribune.com.pk/story/1625567/3-pakistan-among-countries-battling-record-flu-face-rising-death-tolls.
- The Express Tribune. Influenza deaths baffle experts: Health officials say poor conditions in South Punjab hospitals could be contributing to virus prevalence, 2018 https://tribune.com.pk/story/1605591/1-influenza-deaths-baffle-experts.
- Savas E, Tanriverdi D. Knowledge, attitudes and anxiety towards influenza A/H1N1 vaccination of healthcare workers in Turkey. BMC Infect Dis 2010; 10: 281. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-10-281.
- Van D, McLaws ML, Crimmins J, MacIntyre CR, Seale H. University life and pandemic influenza: Attitudes and intended behaviour of staff and students towards pandemic (H1N1) 2009. BMC Public Health 2010; 10: 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-130.
- Raosoft. Sample size calculator. http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html.
- Askarian M, Danaei M, Vakili V. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding pandemic H1N1 influenza among medical and dental residents and fellowships in Shiraz, Iran. Int J Prev Med 2013; 4(4): 396-403. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23671770.
- Pons VG, Canter J, Dolin R. Influenza A/USSR/77 (H1N1) on a university campus. Am J Epidemiol 1980; 111(1): 23-30. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112871.
- Sobal JE, Loveland FC. Infectious disease in a total institution: a study of the influenza epidemic of 1978 on a college campus. *Public Health Rep* 1982; 97(1): 66-72. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7058264.
- Nichol KL, D'Heilly S, Ehlinger E. Colds and influenza-like illnesses in university students: impact on health, academic and work performance, and health care use. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40(9): 1263-1270. https://doi.org/10.1086/429237.
- Al-Naggar RA, Karim AJ, Bobryshev YV. Knowledge of Malaysian university students towards swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus: A qualitative study. *Int Arch Med* 2015; 8(12): 1-7. https://doi.org/10.3823/1611.
- Seale H, Mak JP, Razee H, MacIntyre CR. Examining the knowledge, attitudes and practices of domestic and international university students towards seasonal and pandemic influenza. *BMC Public Health* 2012; 12: 307. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-307.



- Akan H, Gurol Y, Izbirak G, Ozdatli S, Yilmaz G, Vitrinel A, et al. Knowledge and attitudes of university students toward pandemic influenza: a cross-sectional study from Turkey. *BMC Public Health* 2010; 10: 413. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-413.
- Sharma M, Yadav K, Yadav N, Ferdinand KC. Zika virus pandemicanalysis of Facebook as a social media health information platform.
 Am J Infect Control 2017; 45(3): 301-302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.08.022.

Authors:

Zia Ul Mustafa — PharmD, MPhil, Clinical Pharmacist and Pharmacovigilance Officer, District Headquarter Hospital, Pakpattan, Pakistan; Student, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8109-4859.

Muhammad Salman – PharmD, MS ClinPharm, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, The University of Lahore, 1-Km Defense Road, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4366-1461.

Alina Zeeshan Rao – PharmD, MPhil, Student, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3785-1004.

Qurat Ul-Ain Khan – PharmD, MPhil, Hospital Pharmacist, Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore, Pakistan; Student, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6803-0570.

Noman Asif – PharmD, MPhil, Doctoral student, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5193-4143.

Naureen Shehzadi – PharmD, MPhil, PhD, Lecturer, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6688-3289.

Muhammad Farhan Ali Khan – PharmD, MPhil, Student, Department of Pharmacy, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9433-5965.

Khalid Hussain – BSc, BPharm, MPhil, PhD, Full Professor, Punjab University College of Pharmacy, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9627-8346.

Appendix 1. Questionnaire: Evaluation of knowledge and attitudes towards H1N1 influenza in pharmacy students

Gender: (A) Male (B) Female Category: (A) Undergraduate student (B) Post-graduate student Economic status: (A) Lower economic class (B) Middle economic class (C) Upper economic class Marital status: (A) Single (B) Married 1. Influenza Signs and symptoms include fever, sneeze, malaise, vomiting, etc. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 2. Influenza causes severe illness than common cold. (3) Don't know (1) Yes (2) No 3. Influenza does not cause atypical symptoms in children. (3) Don't know (1) Yes (2) No 4. Fever and malaise are prominent and sometimes only symptoms of influenza in infants. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 5. Confusion, cyanosis, apnea and irritability are severe influenza symptoms in children. (2) No (3) Don't know 6. Influenza may not lead to death. (1) Yes (3) Don't know (2) No 7. The incubation period of influenza is 1-14 days. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 8. Transmission period of influenza is 1 day before onset of symptoms and 7 days after them. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 9. Sometimes contagious period is longer than 7 days. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 10. Children are contagious for a shorter period than adults. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 11. Influenza causes less severe illness in pregnant women. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 12. Breast feeding is protective factor against influenza in infants. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 13. Children < 5 years are at high-risk of influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 14. Pregnant women are among high-risk groups for influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 15. Patients with renal failure are at high-risk of influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 16. Patients with cardiovascular disease are at high-risk of influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 17. Old people are at high-risk of influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 18. A person in good health with common cold symptoms may not need to see a doctor. (1) Yes (3) Don't know (2) No 19. Influenza needs immediate reporting. (3) Don't know (2) No (1) Yes 20. There is not an effective vaccine against influenza. (2) No (3) Don't know (1) Yes 21. Seasonal influenza vaccination for all children aged 6 months to 18 years old is recommended. (1) Yes (3) Don't know (2) No 22. People should wash their hands regularly to avoid influenza. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 23. Isolation precautions should be performed by all patients. (2) No (3) Don't know (1) Yes 24. Wearing N95 mask by patient is an effective prevention strategy. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 25. Wearing N95 mask by health care workers is an effective prevention strategy. (3) Don't know (1) Yes (2) No 26. Health care workers should wash their hands regularly. (2) No (3) Don't know (1) Yes 27. Following standard precautions during airway management is important. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 28. Influenza has several complications including chronic diseases. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 29. Influenza causes less deaths among children than adults. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 30. Salicylates are contraindicated in children younger than 18 years. (2) No (3) Don't know (1) Yes

31. The ideal duration of treatment is 5 days.

(1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 32. Antiviral drugs can reduce the influenza symptoms. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know 33. Antiviral drugs regimen should be initiated within 2 days of symptoms. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know **34.** Influenza virus is resistant to amantadine and rimantadine. (1) Yes (2) No (3) Don't know Attitudes towards H1N1 influenza 35. Understanding the influenza symptoms in children and pregnant women is important. (1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance **36.** Breast feeding is an easy way to prevention. (1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance **37.** Examining a person in good health with common cold symptoms is important. (1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance **38.** Immediate reporting of confirmed cases is important.

(1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance

39. Hand washing is important for influenza prevention.(1) Don't have importance(2) Low importance(3) Moderate importance

40. Isolating patients is critically important.(1) Don't have importance(2) Low importance(3) Moderate importance

41. Using N95 masks by patients is 2 civilizably importante.

(1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance **42.** Using N95 masks by health care workers is critically important. (1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance

(1) Don't have importance (2) Low importance (3) Moderate importance **43.** Following hand hygiene by health care workers is critically important.

(1) Don't have importance
(2) Low importance
(3) Moderate importance
44. Avoid salicylates prescription in patients is important.
(1) Don't have importance
(2) Low importance
(3) Moderate importance

45. Rapid case identification and treatment is important.
(1) Don't have importance
46. Prescribing amantadine and rimantadine drugs for patients is important.
(1) Don't have importance
(2) Low importance
(3) Moderate importance
(4) Moderate importance

rtance (4) High importance (5) Very high importance nt.
rtance (4) High importance (5) Very high importance

(4) High importance (5) Very high importance